

ADDENDUM

The numeration employed above for the Latin Anthology is that of Riese, since when I wrote and submitted this paper I did not yet have access to D. R. Shackleton Bailey's edition (*Anthologia Latina*, vol. 1 [Stuttgart, 1982]). On 150 (his 139). 6 he interprets *fidem* as I do. On 240 (his 233). 16 he also proposes *instet*, though his critical notes on these lines are confused (he reads *anhelet* with no note, and records *quaerat*, which he has in the text, instead of *quaerit*, as the reading of the *schedae Divionenses*). I may also remark that he and I (*Hermathena* 129 [1980]: 37–38) have independently corrected to *tanta* and *amabo* at Reposianus 142 and 149.